

## **Comment on the paper of Honor Justice, Professor Dr. Remo Caponi**

Sheng-Lin Jan

March 10, 2026

1. This article covers a very wide range of topics, and is by no means limited to the digitization of court procedures and the use of AI in Italy. What particularly impressed me was that this article also examines the situation in Taiwan.
2. This article explores the philosophical basis for the use of AI and repeatedly emphasizes that judicial decisions must always be made by humans and not delegated to AI, which I believe is not only a consensus among the experts present, but also a supreme principle recognized by countries around the world. After all, the use of AI can not replace the job of a judge.
3. I strongly concur with Professor Caponi's view that wisely governed technology enhances rather than diminishes the quality of justice.
4. Since deployment of AI in the judiciary has become indispensable, the task facing the global legal profession is: how to employ AI in a manner that, as Prof. Caponi repeatedly reminds us, safeguards the fairness of trials and the human dignity.
5. In this regard, I do appreciate professor Caponi's reminder that attention should be paid to 'sustained international dialogue', 'rigorous normative analysis', and 'the institutional courage to embrace innovation while maintaining an unshakeable commitment to the principles of human dignity, judicial independence, and the rule of law'.